

#### They're Hacking Our Clients! Why Are We Only Vuln Assessing Servers?

### Jay Beale Creator - Bastille UNIX Co-Founder - Intelguardians, Inc.



#### **Penetration Testing**

I work for Intelguardians, a security consulting firm. I get to do and lead a fair bit of network and application penetration testing.

A penetration test usually focuses on the compound question: could a "hacker" break in from the Internet and how far could he go?

The hard part is getting into the "internal" network.

Once you're inside, things get far, far easier.



# Target: Internal Network

Our penetration tests get much, much easier from the "internal" network.

Most organizations architect their firewalls for three zones: Internet, DMZ, and Internal networks.

From any machine in the Internal network, we usually have an extreme level of access.



# A Curious Trend in Ethical Hacking

Over the last year, we're finding that compromising the Internet-accessible servers has gotten far more difficult.

Increasingly, we've been getting to the internal network via client-side attack, hacking the Security or IT staff's workstations via vulnerabilities in their browsers, mail clients, Acrobat and Office programs.

These attacks have gotten easier for anyone with a copy of Core IMPACT, Metasploit, or hostile attacker toolkits.



# Hacking Acrobat Reader

On a recent penetration test, we got to target only one IT Security person.

We used every single browser exploit, every single other client exploit. We'd tried every single one - the last one was an Acrobat Reader exploit.

After exploitation, Acrobat would have updated itself?



#### Professional Hackers Started Years Ago

Real attackers moved to client-side attack years ago.

- There's so much money in hacking the clients that it's become a great business for organized crime.
- And this has become so successful, the attackers' chief problem became creating an easily-controlled, scalable means of managing all the systems they've compromised.

And so they brought us the botnet.



#### Workstation Control is Powerful

Most botnet owners have so many machines that they don't ever inventory them and figure out what companies and organizations they've compromised.

Congressional computers were in a botnet. But nobody changed any laws! Or did they?



#### Penetration Testers

As highly-targeted attackers, penetration testing teams use these machines as a foothold to hit the internal organization. We get access to file shares, cached credentials, and applications that have never been designed or audited for security.

Further, even across their worldwide WAN, even the largest organizations have no filters.



# Isn't this Social Engineering?

In the security community, we initially write off these attacks to social engineering. We blame the user.

Not all exploits require user interaction. And if they do, we'll always have some users get fooled. Even if that's 1/100 of 1%, it's bad.

But blaming the non-IT user isn't fair.



# Isn't this Social Engineering?

Blaming the non-IT user isn't fair.

- Your grandmother shouldn't have to understand vulnerabilities to read e-mail. You can't expect her to unless you really make a driver's license for computing.
- It's our responsibility as IT architects to train the user, but to protect them from attack anyway.
- What about your mortgage broker's computer? Or your dentists? High value target, no IT staff and little training. They've probably been owned.



# Why is this difficult?

Most organizations' security has been focused primarily on the perimeter and on firewalls. That over-focus is decreasing, but only so fast.

Most security efforts are focused on the servers, particularly those accessible from the Internet.

This focus really has started to achieve its goal. Hacking organizations, from the Internet, through their servers, is finally getting difficult.

But the attackers have moved to attacking the workstation PCs. And few organizations have kept up with that change in focus. It's a difficult problem...



# Why is this difficult?

First, the numbers are against us. As an attacker, I only need to find one workstation or laptop that has a vulnerable client out of the 10,000 you have.

And you thought protecting 150 servers was difficult!

Second, your users can stay disconnected from the network or have their machines powered off for extended periods. One special case - when someone leaves the organization, we often turn their system off for six months, until their position is filled again.

Patching has always been a race condition!



### What about Patch Management?

The next thing we all think is...this is where patch management products should make the problem irrelevant.

But:

- 1) Not every organization has a commercial patch management tool.
- 2) Patch management tools may rely on a host inventory that isn't accurate. Here are some hosts commonly left off:
  - Old hosts that aren't part of the domain or inventory.
  - Dedicated scanning / machine control systems.
  - Hosts brought to the office from partner companies.
  - Legacy systems of any kind!
- 3) Patch management tools don't always track every third-party product.



# The State of Internal Patching

Actually, most organizations don't patch consistently or frequently enough to avoid this threat.

- Even if they can do consistent and frequent patching, they tend to only be comprehensive for Microsoft software.
- Even those that do this perfectly will have trouble keeping organization or user-installed browser plug-ins up to date.

Well if we're not solving this problem via patching, what about our regular vulnerability assessments?



### **Vulnerability Assessments**

First, most organizations don't perform vulnerability assessments more often than quarterly .

Second, their vulnerability assessments focus on the servers.

That's natural. Servers actually answer you when you probe them and usually give you their version/patch level fairly easily.

Clients aren't quite so helpful...or are they?



# **Clients Identify Themselves Too**

A whole lot of client-side software identifies itself often. We just need to know to listen...or sniff...or read the logs...

First, web browsers tell every server they talk to what version they are:

### HTTP\_USER\_AGENT = Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; Intel Mac OS X; en-US; rv:1.8.1.4) Gecko/20070515 Firefox/2.0.0.4



## Mail Clients Too...

Mail clients send their version string with every single message. I once had a friend e-mail me to tell me that my Thunderbird version was old and vulnerable.

Here's is string from an e-mail I got from another security conference speaker:

#### User-Agent: Thunderbird 2.0.0.6 (Windows/20070728)

Here are a few more from people I work with:

User-Agent: KMail/1.9.9 X-Mailer: Apple Mail (2.919.2)



# Watching without Sniffing

If I just watch all the browser user agent strings as people on my network browse, I could easily give you a list of vulnerable browsers.

But what if I don't want to sniff the network?

Most large organizations use transparent web proxies to decrease their Internet bandwidth costs - why download the same CNN graphics 2,000 times today?

Squid proxies, Cisco Cache Engines and many of others, easily log browser user agent strings. I can watch these for malware and vulnerable browsers.

Sendmail can be configured to log mail client user agent strings as well.



# Sniffing

Alternatively, you can sniff the internal links to your outbound mail relays and outbound transparent web proxies.

But, either way, we're missing something in the browsers, aren't we?

Can anyone tell me what it is?



#### Browser Plugins!

Browser exploitability sometimes relies on third party code that may not even ship with the browser.

People add their own plug-ins, often automagically when they try to use a website that needs it.

They don't necessarily know they need to look for patches. They may not even know what vendor the plug-in came from, since the site they were using sent them to the vendor site to download the plug-in.

IT Departments can find it difficult to track these plug-ins, especially when they didn't install them!

Let's look at a couple examples...



# Adobe Acrobat Reader

The Adobe Acrobat Reader Plugin:

- Adobe Acrobat Reader Browser Plug-in for MSIE Malformed PDF Request DoS Dec 27, 2006
- Adobe Acrobat Reader Plugin for Microsoft IE Microsoft.XMLHTTP ActiveX CLRF Injection Dec 27, 2006

Adobe Acrobat Reader Browser Plug-in PDF XSS Dec 27, 2006

- Adobe Acrobat Reader Browser Plug-in PDF CSRF Dec 27, 2006
- Adobe Acrobat Reader Browser Plug-in PDF Handling Memory Corruption Dec 27, 2006



### Macromedia Flash Plug-in

The Macromedia Flash Plug-in:

Adobe Macromedia Flash Player Plug-in Multiple Browser Remote Keystroke Disclosure Apr 11, 2007

Macromedia Flash Flash 8b.ocx Flash 8b.Allow Script Access Method Dos Dec 29, 2006

Macromedia Flash Player swf Processing Multiple Unspecified Code Execution Mar 14, 2006

Macromedia Flash Player Flash.ocx ActionDefineFunction Function Arbitrary Code Execution Nov 7, 2005

Macromedia Flash Player Flash.ocx Unspecified Function Arbitrary Code Execution Nov 4, 2005



# Detecting Plug-ins

Rsnake announced an excellent tool for this at Toorcon Seattle:

Master Reconnaissance Tool

Visit this URL to see what your browser's plug-ins are:

#### http://ha.ckers.org/mr-t/

Here are some of the highlights from my browser:

Java Embedding Plugin 0.9.6.2 Shockwave Flash 9.0 r28 QuickTime Plug-in 7.1.5 Move-Media-Player.plugin npmnqmp 07074032 JoostPlugin.plugin



#### What about Non-network Software?

- The applications targeted most: browsers, mail clients, browser plug-ins, and Microsoft Office.
- Larry Pesce from the PaulDotCom podcast highlighted the Metagoofill tool by Christian Martorella.

#### http://www.edge-security.com/soft.php

- It searches a website in Google for public documents, including PDF, DOC, XLS, PPT, SDW, MDB, and SDC.
- It then parses out metadata, including creator, creation time, and version of the client.



#### Document Metadata

- If we can pull newly-saved/sent documents from file shares or sniff them on the wire, we can parse them for metadata.
- If John just created this Word document five minutes ago, with a vulnerable version of Word, on John's laptop, we can be pretty sure that his laptop still has a vulnerable Word program!



#### Looking Up Version Strings

- So we've got version strings accessible to anyone who can read certain logs. You can get more if you sniff the network. And still more if you potentially inject a MR-T iframe in each person's browser once per day.
- If you put that together with a nightly database update from OSVDB (<u>http://www.osvdb.org</u>), you've got client-side vulnerability assessment.

But we can go further than this - we can redirect some clients to a captive portal to patch.



#### Simple Client-side IPS?

- Your browser asks the Squid cache for an external website while revealing that you have a vulnerable version of that browser. Why not keep it off the Internet?
- Let's write a Squid plug-in that can deny that single request right then and there, re-directing you to a captive portal with patches for your particular browser and an explanation of what's wrong.
- You can take this further. If you really want to win the race, deny the user access to his mailbox if his mail client is vulnerable. Send the next web request to a captive portal explaining what's going on.



### Even Simpler?

What if we created a tool that programmatically ran over OSVDB vulnerabilities and built firewall rules that blocked outgoing web requests from vulnerable clients?



#### About the Speaker

Jay Beale is a information security specialist, well known for his work on threat avoidance and mitigation technology. He's written two of the most popular security hardening tools: Bastille Unix, a system lockdown and audit tool that introduced a vital security-training component, and the Center for Internet Security's Unix Scoring Tool. Both are used worldwide throughout private industry and government. Through Bastille and his work with the Center, Jay has provided leadership in the Linux system hardening space, participating in efforts to set, audit, and implement standards for Linux/Unix security within industry and government. Jay also contributed to the OVAL project and the Honeynet Project.

Jay has served as an invited speaker at a variety of conferences worldwide as well as government symposia. He's written for Information Security Magazine, SecurityFocus, and SecurityPortal. Jay has co-authored or edited nine books in the Information Security space. Six of these make up his Open Source Security Series, while two are technical works of fiction in the "Stealing the Network" series.

Jay makes his living as a security consultant with the firm Intelguardians, Inc, which he co-founded with other industry leaders Ed Skoudis, Mike Poor, Bob Hillery and Jim Alderson. His work in network and web application penetration testing, as well as security architecture review, allows him to maintain deep understanding of current threats and defenses. Prior to consulting, Jay served as the Security Team Director for MandrakeSoft, helping set company strategy, design security products, and pushing security into the then third largest retail Linux distribution.